

**University of Colorado, Department of Physics**  
**PHYS3220, Fall 09, Some final review problems**

1. At time  $t=0$ , a particle is represented by the wave function:

$$\Psi(x, t = 0) = \begin{cases} A \frac{x}{a}, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq a \\ A \frac{b-x}{b-a}, & \text{if } a \leq x \leq b \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants. At which  $x$  does the probability density peak? Calculate the probability to find the particle to the left of  $a$  (i.e. for  $x \leq a$ ).

2. Consider the following wave function for a particle of mass  $m$  at time  $t = 0$ , characterized by a positive constant  $k_0$

$$\Psi(x, t = 0) = A [\exp(ik_0x) + \exp(-ik_0x)]$$

Find the potential  $V(x)$  for which  $\Psi(x, t = 0)$  solves the time-dependent Schrödinger equation? Does  $\Psi(x, t = 0)$  represent an acceptable physical state? Justify your answer.

3. How does the probability current density  $J(x, t)$  change with time, if the system is in a stationary state (energy eigenstate)? Explain your answer.
4. A normalized wave function of a particle is written as:

$$\Psi(x, t = 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\chi_1(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\chi_2(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\chi_3(x)$$

where  $\chi_1$ ,  $\chi_2$  and  $\chi_3$  are energy eigenstates with the corresponding *unequal* energies  $E_1 \neq E_2 \neq E_3$ . Write down  $\Psi(x, t)$ . Is  $\Psi(x, t)$  a stationary state? Justify your answer.

5. The potential energy  $V(x)$  for a particle is given by:

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} V_0, & x < 0 \\ 0, & 0 < x < a \\ V_0/2, & a < x < 2a \\ V_0, & 2a < x \end{cases}$$

Sketch this potential. Assume  $V_0$  and  $a$  have been chosen so that  $0 < E_1 < V_0/2 < E_2 < V_0$ . Draw two separate sketches, one for the ground state (with energy  $E_1$ ) and another one for the first excited state (with energy  $E_2$ ). Comment on the features of the curves, in particular at  $x = 0$ ,  $a$ , and  $2a$ .

6. Consider the potential step defined by

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} V_0, & x < 0 \\ 0, & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < V_0$ . Consider states with  $E > V_0$ . Write down the transmission coefficient  $T$  for particles moving from the far right ( $x \gg 0$ ) to the far left ( $x \ll 0$ ) in terms of the amplitudes of the general solutions in the different regions and any other constants (Reminder: If  $\Psi(x) = A \exp(ikx)$ , then  $A$  is called the amplitude).

7. Why do we care about commutators of operators in quantum mechanics? Give more than one reason.
8. We have shown that  $(\hat{A}\hat{B})^\dagger = \hat{B}^\dagger\hat{A}^\dagger$  for any operators  $A$  and  $B$  (even ones which are not Hermitian operators). Use this result to answer: When is the product of two Hermitian operators itself also a Hermitian operator? Is the product  $\hat{x}\hat{p}$  a Hermitian operator?
9. An operator  $\hat{A}$  (representing an observable  $A$ ) has two normalized eigenfunctions  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  with eigenvalues  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ . Operator  $\hat{B}$  (representing an observable  $B$ ) has two normalized eigenfunctions  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  with eigenvalues  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . Suppose that the two sets of eigenfunctions are related by:

$$|\Psi_1\rangle = \frac{2}{3}|\Phi_1\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}|\Phi_2\rangle \quad |\Psi_2\rangle = -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}|\Phi_1\rangle + \frac{2}{3}|\Phi_2\rangle$$

- a) Let's start in some unspecified state, and then observable  $A$  is measured. Further, assume that you do measure the particular value  $a_1$ . What is the state of the system immediately after this measurement?
- b) Immediately after the measurement of  $A$  (which, recall, happened to yield  $a_1$ ), the observable  $B$  is then measured. What are the possible results of the  $B$  measurement, and what are their probabilities?
- c) Suppose that the outcome of the  $B$  measurement is  $b_1$ . And immediately after that measurement, we measure  $A$  again. What is the probability of getting  $a_1$  again?
10. Starting with the definitions of raising and lowering operators  $\hat{L}_+$  and  $\hat{L}_-$  in terms of  $\hat{L}_x$  and  $\hat{L}_y$ , prove that  $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_+] = \hbar\hat{L}_+$ . Use the result to show that: If  $Y_{l,m}$  is an eigenfunction of  $\hat{L}_z$  with eigenvalue  $m\hbar$  (and  $m < l$ ) then  $\hat{L}^+Y_{l,m}$  is an eigenfunction of  $L_z$  with eigenvalue  $(m+1)\hbar$ .