Oscillations
How many initial conditions are required to fully determine the general solution to a 2nd order linear differential equation?

Students are quick on this one. But, I solved the $x'' = -\frac{k}{m} x$ (by guess and check), and we talked about whether $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$ we put in our solution ($A \cos(\omega t)$) is one of the parameters determined by initial conditions (it certainly is not!)
Since $\cos(\omega t)$ and $\cos(-\omega t)$ are both solutions of

$$\ddot{x}(t) = -\omega^2 x(t)$$

can we express the general solution as

$$x(t) = C_1 \cos(\omega t) + C_2 \cos(-\omega t)$$

A) yes  
B) no  
C) ???/depends

Notes from ’11: I explicitly showed that $\cos(\omega t)$ is a solution to this ODE just BEFORE giving this CT.

Just a fun little question. We had just explicitly shown $\cos(\omega t)$ works, and I quickly showed that $\cos(-\omega t)$ does too, so then asked this. This leads to a nice discussion of linear dependence, and the need for two independent solutions. (I also briefly mention the Wronskian check method, but don’t have them practice it)

Note that the majority got this wrong! It was a nice discussion. Since 55 “looked correct”, I asked for an explanation of A, and got a nice one: the ODE is linear, so if you have two solutions, then a linear combination of them is also a solution”. This is 100% correct, and I agree with the student, but then MANY hands shot up. The counter arguer stated that it’s true that this $y(t)$ is a solution, but it’s not general, because $\cos(x) = \cos(-x)$, so you can combine $C1+C2$, it’s just ONE constant, really, not two. So we’re missing the other solution (which the student point out was sin)
Got this slide from A. Marino/D. Caballero. Just fun, intro to complex numbers.
For a simple harmonic oscillator (mass on a spring), what happens to the period of motion if the spring constant is increased?

A) Increases  
B) decreases  
C) unchanged  
D) It depends!

I'm not sure why so many voted for increases, couldn't get anyone to speak up about it. The formula $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$ and $T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega}$ was on the board when they revoted. Neither had been discussed or was on the board before the first vote.
For SHM, what happens to the period of motion if the mass is increased by 4?

A) Increases by 2x  
B) Increases by 4x  
C) unchanged  
D) Decreases by 2x  
E) Decreases by 4x

This is easy after discussion of the previous one. (I did a little demo for them)
For the previous situation, what happens to the period of motion if the initial displacement is increased by 4?

A) Increases by 2x
B) Increases by 4x
C) unchanged
D) Decreases by 2x
E) Decreases by 4x
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Didn’t bother clicking, but they called it out. I challenged the class, isn’t this odd, that it goes 4 times as far in the same time? I got several “but the initial force is larger” responses. But it’s still rather magical, how does it come out COMPLETELY independent of the amplitude? Pointed out that for a pendulum, it is NOT exactly independent, only approximately (which is a good thing for grandfather clocks, and why you need small amplitude motion on such a clock)
They all claim to “know” complex numbers. And it’s sort of true, but they need a lot of review, they don’t know them well, and they really don’t seem to have a good sense of complex numbers as represented in the complex plane.
I was disappointed so asked them to talk to their neighbors (before I explained anything), result became 2, 7, 2, [88], 2, good!

Sp '11 (clicker failure, estimate: 8, 10, 6, [75], 0

I had introduced Euler, showed how to plot \( a+bi \) in the complex plane, showed that this is equivalent to \( Ae^{i\theta} \) on the board. I had a sketch up there, of \( a+bi \), with the hypotenuse \( A = \sqrt{a^2+b^2} \) and angle \( \theta = \tan^{-1}(b/a) \). Then gave them this one, and it was NOT universally correct. I find this interesting, it's apparently new to just “see” how to plot a point in the complex plane for them.

What I could not figure out is what the source of the problem on the first pass is. Do they really think that “3 pi/4” points DOWN (answer A)? Or that it’s in the 1st quadrant (answer B)? Is this the nature of their difficulty, or are they just lost/guessing? Their discussion with neighbors definitely helped a lot. Then I shifted to whiteboards, where things went very well. (see next one)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i)</th>
<th>$e^{i\pi/6}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ii)</td>
<td>$e^{i\pi/3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii)</td>
<td>$e^{i\pi/3} \cdot e^{i\pi/6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv)</td>
<td>$e^{i\pi/3} / e^{i\pi/6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Click A) only when you’re done, please.

Simple whiteboard activity, only takes a few minutes, but gets them thinking about NOT “computing” the real and imaginary parts, but rather just “seeing” from the polar form where the point is in the complex plane. This slide also gets them thinking about “rotations” in the plane as what multiplication or division means.

Might want to add a clicker question at the bottom to let you know when they’re done with it, though.

S. Pollock and D. Caballero
Consider two complex numbers, $z_1$ and $z_2$. The dotted circle shows the unit circle, where $|z|=1$.

Followup to the whiteboard (previous slide). Put this up when ~1/2 the class was done. See next slide for rest.
Consider two complex numbers, $z_1$ and $z_2$. The dotted circle shows the unit circle, where $|z|=1$.

Which shows the product $z_1z_2$?

- A)
- B)
- C)
- D)
- E) I have no idea

Just a reminder/focus on how to multiply complex numbers, and to picture that as a “rotation” in the complex plane. Looks like the whiteboard activity preceding this helped, comparing with Sp '11

From A. Marino (?)
What is 1/(1+i) in “polar” form?

A) $e^{i \pi/4}$  
B) $e^{-i \pi/4}$  
C) $0.5 e^{i \pi/4}$
D) $0.5 e^{-i\pi/4}$
E) Something else!
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Sp ‘12:  2, 16, 0, 46, [[36]]
(Last year I gave a harder version, Sp ‘11 result was only 30% correct. )
Note that this is a little mean, the correct coefficient is 1/Sqrt[2], not ½, so E is correct. Might not want to do that next time, especially since A garners no votes.

There are two obvious “paths” here,
1) Write 1 = 1e^i0 and (1+i) = Sqrt[2] e^(I pi/4), and divide, using the method/logic of the previous slide.
2) “Rationalize” by multiplying numerator and denominator by 1-i.
I did both with the class, discussing WHY you rationalize. Some students are still confused, how do I “see” that 1+i = Sqrt[2]e^(I pi/4)? I drew it out on the board (complex plane diagram), I think this helped.

They’re still slow/struggling, even though last class they all said they “know” complex numbers! It’s clearly worth taking a little time to review. I have more review q’s on homework due in 2 days.
What is $(1+i)^2/(1-i)$?

A) $e^{i\pi/4}$
B) $\sqrt{2} e^{i\pi/4}$
C) $e^{i3\pi/4}$
D) $\sqrt{2}e^{i3\pi/4}$
E) Something else!

Did this last year, seems unnecessarily complicated for this point in the lecture.
What is \((1+i)^2/(1-i)\)

From A. Marino (?)
What is \((1+i)^2/(1-i)\)

\[1+i = \sqrt{2}e^{i\pi/4}\]

\[(1+i)^2 = 2e^{i\pi/2}\]
What is \((1+i)^2/(1-i)\)

\[1+i = \sqrt{2} e^{i\pi/4}\]

\[(1+i)^2 = 2 e^{i\pi/2}\]

\[1-i = \sqrt{2} e^{-i\pi/4}\]
What is $(1+i)^2/(1-i)$

From A. Marino (?)
Based on the pictures, what is the period of motion of the block?

A) .2 s  
B) .4 s  
C) .6 s  
D) .8 s  
E) None of these/ not enough info!

No time  
(From Maine/Ambrose Intermediate MechanicsTutorials)
SJP Sp '12 Lecture #18

This year we did this with whiteboards, spent a good 5 minutes on it. It’s a good activity. This time I first connected the motion to the physical (demo) mass in the room, and this seemed to help mitigate what the physical meaning of x0 is. Student this term were quick to get x0 and A, spent some time on omega (there were a variety of answers, including 2 Pi, 4 Pi, 8 Pi, Pi/2, … (Some arising from thinking T=2 sec, others from not correctly using omega = 2 Pi/T, …) The tough one seems to be phase, I saw MOSTLY pi/2 or –pi/2, some +/- signs, and some 0’s, (The +/- is NOT correct, we sketched this on the board, I did each one separately, and we realized that +pi/2 generates –sin, not +sin, inconsistent with the story on the slide. I also used this to remind them of the trig identity for \( \cos(a-b) \). Afterwards, Danny pointed out the “take a derivative” trick (see notes from last year, below) to get that it is –pi/2, not +pi/2.

SJP, Sp ’11 Lecture #17

We spent a lot of class time on this, at least 5 minutes. I had two groups at the board, and everyone working at their seats. The groups at the board struggled – they struggled with understanding what x0 meant, they struggled to come up with omega (without k or m), they even debated if A was 0.2 or 0.4 There was a LOT of trouble with phi – one group up front thought it was 0, but then realized this was
Before the discussion, I asked “which is leading, red or black”? MOST students called out red, but some called out black – visually, the black curve looks “ahead”, but of course the red curve peaks a little EARLIER so it “winning the race”. This is the lingo, red is leading, black is lagging.

Discussion went well, students point out “$\cos(x-\text{delta})$ shifts the curve to the RIGHT”, and another pointed out that “$\cos(w t + d)$ reaches a peak FIRST, if $d>0$”.

SP ’11: 38, [62] (order /notation was different in last year’s version, I had $\cos(w t - d)$ and no colors)

Sp 11 comments: Students are still confused about this. You peak when $wt-d=0$, or $t=d/w$. So, if your $d$ is more positive, you peak a little later. Looks like osc 2 has the slightly more bigger delta. (I had to go over this several times, even a very good student was struggling with it)
Sort of just for fun and review. A student argued that C is ok, just “redundant”. I would argue that C is somewhat silly, just like suggesting $A \cos \omega t + B \sin \omega t + C \sin + D \cos$ is also “fully general”.

What is the general solution to the ODE $\ddot{x}(t) = -\omega^2 x(t)$ where $\omega$ is some (known) constant?

A) $x(t) = A \cos \omega t + B \sin \omega t$
B) $x(t) = Ce^{i\omega t} + De^{-i\omega t}$
C) $x(t) = A \cos \omega t + B \sin \omega t + Ce^{i\omega t} + De^{-i\omega t}$
D) Exactly two of these are fully general!
E) All three of these are fine, with choice C the most general

Is there any OTHER general form for this solution? How many are there? How many might there be?
Neglecting all damping, and considering just 1D motion, what is the angular frequency at which this mass will oscillate? (The mass is at the equilibrium position for both springs at the point shown)

A) \(\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}\)
B) \(\sqrt{1.5 \frac{k}{m}}\)
C) \(\sqrt{3\frac{k}{m}}\)
D) \(\sqrt{5\frac{k}{m}}\)
E) Something else!

This is a nice problem, it triggers some sort of “balancing” intuition, and requires a systematic approach to be sure to get it right.

I had them talk me through “how do you proceed”, got many “draw a free body diagram”, “write down the ODE”

Here, the forces when you displace it by “x” both point in the SAME direction, on is \(kx\), the other is \(2kx\), thus \(3kx\) is the total.

From E. Redish.
Phase Space
We spent about 20 minutes on the Maine Tutorial, \texttt{7TtPhase-SHM\_ADM.doc} and wrapped up with this question. It was a good discussion, students had interesting ideas, and there was ongoing debate even after I had “$b/a = \omega$” (where $b$ and $a$ represent the $v$ and $x$ intercepts), which is derived in the Tutorial, on the board.

One student argued that this figure was impossible, because “curve B obviously has higher energy because it goes out farther, and yet curve A goes up to higher $v$, so it has higher energy.” He convinced himself that both curves should have the same $x$-extent. I asked the class what they thought — which curve represents more energy. This generated more debate, with some insisting that “larger stretch must mean more energy”. (But of course $k$ is NOT the same for the two figures, as implied by the question). Indeed, given that $m$ is the same, the $v$ intercept tells us that curve A has the larger energy. (And larger $k$)

The second pair of questions proved to be very good conversation starters too, we had a good long class discussion. 2 was easy, 3 was harder. Someone thought (like last year) that this would “shift the whole ellipse sideways” (?) So, we had a good discussion about that.
Phase paths A and B both describe a harmonic oscillator with the same mass $m$. Which path describes the system with a bigger spring constant $k$?

C) both are (or at least could be!) the same
D) Not enough info/???

No problem, but worth asking. The discussion was good, and the student who explained it made the nice physical argument that bigger $k$ means "stiffer", so it goes faster without going as far.

(Fa '10 - this one was given RIGHT after the Tutorial but before the previous review slide and it was a much more mixed result)

(From Maine/Ambrose Tutorials)
Sp 11: This was a review from last time (when we did the Tutorial on phase space). Since the answer split indicated that A was the one they weren’t sure about, I asked for people to explain to me what the problem might be with A. One student discussed the issue when x is max (but v is going from negative to positive??) and another pointed out the issue when x=0, and v is positive, but x is becoming more negative. It’s a good conversation starter, I think.
From Sp ‘11:
Review, I animated this. I didn’t do 1 and 2 as clicker questions, just gave them ~1 minute (each) to talk with their neighbors, and then asked for class discussion. This went very well. 1 seemed easy, there was strong and quick consensus. (Nobody raised the possibility that it “stretches wider”) Students pointed out that bigger stretch means bigger energy, so “the whole think scales up” (which is almost but not 100% identical to how I was thinking, merely that omega is fixed and sets b/a, so bigger a makes a bigger “similar” ellipse)

But 2 generated a lot of discussion. 1 student said w stays the same so the SHAPE is the same, but it must “shift sideways”. Another said it makes no difference at all (which is true if you think of this as a shape, rather than the trajectory of a point). I would argue that delta is telling you where on the curve you start, so the overall ellipse is the same, but the starting point depends on delta. I thought this slide was very worthwhile review.
For the phase space trajectory of a simple harmonic oscillator, give a *physical* interpretation of the fact that:

- the trajectory crosses the horizontal \((x)\) axis at right angles.
- the trajectory crosses the vertical \((v)\) axes at right angles.

Sp ‘11 comments: Again, no clicking, just discussion. I told them I wanted it quick, and gave them ~1 minute. I got very nice, clear explanations for both, students were able to articulate it well. (E.g, at the top, \(x=0\) so you’re at equilibrium, there is no force, and thus speed must be constant there). One student tried to explain the crossing of the \(x\) axis by stating that there, \(v=0\) at the endpoint. So I pointed out that the ellipse could (conceivably) be tipped, so maybe it crosses through the \(x\) axis with a slope. But another student quickly countered, at the extremum of \(x\), velocity is zero, which means \(x\) is not instantaneously changing, so it must be vertical.
2D Harmonic motion
Compare the motion of a 2D harmonic oscillator with two different sets of initial conditions. In case (1) the particle is released from rest and oscillates along the path shown. In case (2) the particle starts with a larger x position and with a negative x component of the velocity.

What can you say about the amplitude of the x and y motion?

A) $A_{x1} > A_{x2}$, $A_{y1} > A_{y2}$
B) $A_{x1} < A_{x2}$, $A_{y1} = A_{y2}$
C) $A_{x1} = A_{x2}$, $A_{y1} > A_{y2}$
D) $A_{x1} < A_{x2}$, $A_{y1} < A_{y2}$
E) $A_{x1} = A_{x2}$, $A_{y1} = A_{y2}$
Compare the motion of a 2D harmonic oscillator with two different sets of initial conditions. In case (1) the particle is released from rest and oscillates along the path shown. In case (2) the particle starts with a larger x position and with a negative x component of the velocity. What can you say about the frequency of the x and y motion?

A) $\omega_{x1} > \omega_{x2}$, $\omega_{y1} > \omega_{y2}$
B) $\omega_{x1} < \omega_{x2}$, $\omega_{y1} = \omega_{y2}$
C) $\omega_{x1} = \omega_{x2}$, $\omega_{y1} > \omega_{y2}$
D) $\omega_{x1} < \omega_{x2}$, $\omega_{y1} < \omega_{y2}$
E) $\omega_{x1} = \omega_{x2}$, $\omega_{y1} = \omega_{y2}$

(Similar to last year, we discussed it). Also briefly discussed the fact that the diagonal line indicates $wx = wy$ here as well.

Sp ‘11 comments: Didn’t click, but asked it. Many shouted out both are “equal”.
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(Similar to last year, we discussed it). Also briefly discussed the fact that the diagonal line indicates $wx = wy$ here as well.

Sp ‘11 comments: Didn’t click, but asked it. Many shouted out both are “equal”.

(1) $v_0 = 0$
(2) $v_{ox} < 0$, $v_{oy} = 0$
Which of the below trajectories most closely resembles case 2 in the last question, where \( v_{y0}=0 \) and \( v_{x0}<0 \) at the release point?

A: Trajectory #1

B: Trajectory #2

C: Trajectory #3

D: Trajectory #4

E: Trajectory #5

Skipped
Shown below are several trajectories for a 2D oscillator. For which one is \( \delta = 0? \)

(No time in ‘12. In ‘11 didn’t click, but talked it through. Many in class spontaneously shouted out tilted line. Must be careful not to confuse this representation with “phase space” that we just covered! )
A 2D oscillator traces out the following path in the xy-plane. What can you say about the frequencies of the x and y motion?

A) $\omega_x = 4 \omega_y$
B) $\omega_x = 2 \omega_y$
C) $\omega_x = \omega_y$
D) $\omega_x = 0.5 \omega_y$
E) $\omega_x = 0.25 \omega_y$

It’s easy to get “backwards”, good conversations, must figured it out, it requires care. See comments below, the Mathematica notebook was a big hit!

Sp 11 comments: I had several students clearly explain it by “walking through” the curve, pointing out that x goes through a FULL cycle in the time y goes through a half cycle. I think this one is tricky, I have to think hard about it myself, and it’s certainly easy to get “D” if you’re just a little sloppy/quick.

I used this as an excuse to pull up my Mathematica Lissajous code, and walked them through it. When I got to the case of $wx=2wy$, I reminded them of this figure, then let MMA produce its picture – it looks TOTALLY different (because the figure here has an interesting phase combination, you need the cos functions to in fact be sin functions in order to get the (0,0) point. I then let MMA “animate” the changing of phi, and you can see it rotate into this form (from a parabolic form)

I thought this ordering, having them think about the curve/concept test, THEN see
A 2D oscillator traces out the following path in the xy-plane. What can you say about the Amplitudes of the x and y motion?

A) $A_x > A_y$
B) $A_x \approx A_y$
C) $A_x < A_y$

Sp '11: Didn't click, but asked it. Seemed to generate quick and correct responses through the room.
Consider a super ball which bounces up and down on super concrete. After the ball is dropped from an initial height $h$, it bounces with no dissipation and executes an infinite number of bounces back to height $h$.

Is the motion of the ball in $z$ simple harmonic motion?

A) yes
B) no
C) ???

skipped
Damped oscillations
An oscillator is released from rest at $x=+.1$ m, and undergoes ideal SHM. If a small damping term is added, how does $|F_{\text{net}}|$ differ from the ideal situation when the mass is on its way from $.1$ m to the origin?

A) Slightly larger than the undamped case.
B) Slightly smaller than the undamped case
C) The same as the undamped case
D) It varies (and thus none of the above is correct)
E) The answer depends on how big the damping is.

Hint: **Draw a free body diagram!**

Phys 2210 Spring 2012 Lecture 19 SJP
Sp ‘12; 14, [[83]], 0, 2, 2
Sp 11: 11, [84], 0, 5

Solution is drawn on next slide. I didn’t really hear much by way of student voices on this one, but one student just said “drag counters the spring force”.

I asked them how many had drawn a FBD, and only one person raised their hand! (Both terms)
I discussed “D”, because it DOES “vary” with speed, it’s just that even so, answer B is still correct.

S. Pollock
the mass is on its way from +.1 m to the origin...

\[ F = -kx \quad F = -b\dot{v} \]

\[ x = 0 \quad x \quad x = .1 \text{ m} \]
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Animated to facilitate discussion of the FBD
The ODE for damped simple harmonic motion is:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + 2\beta \frac{dy}{dt} + \alpha y = 0$$

What are the signs of the constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$?

A) $\alpha, \beta > 0$
B) $\alpha, \beta < 0$
C) $\alpha < 0, \beta > 0$
D) $\alpha > 0, \beta < 0$
E) Depends!

Phys 2210 Spring 2012 Lecture 19 SJP
(I had them do it silently first, results did not change much, except the “B and C” results had been about 1/3 of the class, and they went away)
Sp ’12: [38], 3, 5, 53, 3
Last year I didn’t click. This result surprised me! Although all of them had the right sign for alpha, the majority got the sign of beta wrong. I had $x'' + (k/m) x = 0$ and its solution on the board (and had discussed it before we got to this question). So, no big surprise that they got alpha right.
While the clicker question was going I wrote “$F = ma = mx''$, with $F = -kx$ “ on the board. I thought this would help them, but I think they were “intuiting” the answer and just putting in a minus sign for friction without thinking of how this ODE arises from Newton II.

Glad I asked it, I think this may stick better!

S. Pollock
A mass on a spring has a small damping term added. What happens to the period of oscillation?

A) Slightly larger than the undamped case.
B) Slightly smaller than the undamped case
C) The same as the undamped case
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Sp ‘12: [[40]], 11, 49 (silent)
[[37]], 4, 58, 2 (No improvement, maybe even got worse, except here too B largely gone!)
Sp 11’: [43], 11, 45 (silent)
[55], 5, 40 (after 1 more minute of loud discussion) Not much change, though B has largely vanished.

Conversation in ‘12 was almost IDENTICAL to that in ‘11:
I heard lots of student comments about this. One said that it’s going slower due to drag, so it should take longer (building on a previous CT). Another responded that yes, it goes slower, but the amplitude is dropping, so it has less far to go, so those should cancel out, giving the SAME frequency as before. (answer C) A student rebutted with the example of the extreme case (lots of drag, it will take forever even to do just a half period) (Which the previous student had to concede was a good point 😊 Another then said that “frequency = Sqrt[k/m], which has nothing to do with damping constant”, so again, it should be C. A fifth student said that solving the auxiliary equation gives a new omega = Sqrt[omega_0^2-beta^2] which IS a little smaller. (Another student said that if damping is “small”, this effect is negligible, so “C” should be the correct answer. Which has some validity, although I would say
What are the roots of the auxiliary equation
\[ D^2 + D - 2 = 0 \]?

A) 1 and 2  
B) 1 and -2  
C) -1 and 2  
D) -1 and -2  
E) Other/not sure...
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3, [[78]], 16, 3

Note that the only mistakes are mostly just overall signs. Just a little quick practice, and clarifying that the roots become the exponents in the solution.

I took the opportunity to go BACKWARDS from this auxiliary equation to the starting ODE, and asked them why it was NOT the ODE for damped HM. (the sign of the -2 term is unphysical for an oscillator, students noticed that) But of course our METHOD is more general, it works for any 2nd order lineary homogeneous ODE with constant coefficients.

B) \((D+2)(D-1)=0\)
What are the roots of the auxiliary equation for $y''(t) + y(t) = 0$?

A) 1 and -1  
B) 1 and 0  
C) just 1  
D) just i  
E) i and -i
What are the roots of the auxiliary equation 
\( y''(t) + \omega^2 y(t) = 0 \) ?

- A) \( \omega \) and -\( \omega \)
- B) \( \omega \) and 0
- C) just \( \omega \)
- D) just \( i\omega \)
- E) \( i\omega \) and -\( i\omega \)
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5, 0, 2, 3, [[90]]

At first, about 30% of the class was voting A. During their discussion this shifted, till they all got those “i’s right. I pointed out that they can sensemake, this is the SHM equation we started class with, we know the solution, it’s nice to see this METHOD gives it back to us.

(One student argued that D is ok if you let omega be either positive or negative. I wanted to insist that you should explicitly indicate there are 2 linearly independent solutions/roots)

S. Pollock
Are $e^{i\omega t}$ and $\cos(\omega t)$ linearly independent?

A) yes
B) no
C) It depends on omega
D) ???

Skipped in ‘12 and ‘11
An underdamped oscillation with $b < \omega_0$:

$$x(t) = Ae^{-\beta t} \cos(\omega_1 t - \delta).$$

$\beta < \omega_0$

$\omega_1 = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \beta^2}$. Note that damping reduces the oscillation frequency.

Nice slide to summarize, I had gotten to all this on the board already.

From Ana Marie Rey.
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From AMR.

Again, nice slide to summarize, I had the roots but this saved me from having to rewrite it on the board. Note the pulling out of the minus sign, this requires some attention. I asked the students, “are both exponents negative, or could the first one involve a “cancellation” and end up giving you a net + behaviour. The answer is no, it was good to hear them argue for it) I then asked, at large times, which of the two terms dominates. This was also a good discussion, and there was some disagreement. (The answer is written, it’s the C1 term that survives.)

Finally, talked about “very overdamped”, pointed out that the nomenclature is confusing. Many of them in the preflight thought “overdamped” should bring you to the origin QUICKLY. This slide shows that’s not true, overdamping makes the decay parameter SMALLER. It is not that overdamping brings x to 0 quicker, it’s that it brings v (speed) to 0 quicker! That seemed to help a few students understand why overdamping can mean a long time to return (like a spring/mollases system)

Slide from A. Rey
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Went through this quickly, had to discuss the novel story here that there’s only one root, so we need another linearly independent function. (Pointed out that $t \exp[-\beta t]$ does NOT solve the ODE if beta is not equal to omega0!) 

Slide from A. Rey
A mass on a spring has a small damping term added. When it passes through $x=0$, which is correct?

A) The mass is instantaneously speeding up  
B) The mass is instantaneously slowing down  
C) The mass is at a maximum speed (and is thus neither speeding up nor slowing down)  
D) The answer depends on which WAY it is passing through the origin.

(Moved into next slide, but could be separate if you have time)
An oscillator has a small damping term added. We release it from rest. Where do you think $v_{\text{max}}$ first occurs?

A) Just BEFORE reaching $x=0$
B) Just AFTER reaching $x=0$
C) Precisely when $x=0$
D) ???

HINT: At the instant it passes through $x=0$, is it speeding up, slowing down, or at a max speed? Does this help?

Phys 2210 Spring 2012 Lecture 19 SJP
[[54]], 0, 46,

Running out of time, I wanted to do the Tutorial, but this one is helpful for that Tutorial!
I forgot to animate the hint. Didn’t have as much time as last year, too bad.

Still, it was a very good discussion, and we heard some interesting arguments. One student made a compelling case that AT the origin you are already slowing down (i.e. he invented the hint idea)

Then a student tried an energy argument, at $x=0$ PE is still minimum (friction is not entering into PE) which he claimed argued for C. Another student rebutted, (although the argument was not convincing to the first speaker.)

Answer is A) ) At release, it is certainly speeding up (there’s only $F=-kx$), but by the time it gets to $x=0$, it must already be slowing down (because there’s only damping at $x=0$). So we must have already PASSED the moment when we switch from speeding up to slowing down. That moment we passed, where we switch from speeding to slowing, is the place where $v_{\text{max}}$ occurred.

Notes from Sp 11: [77], 2, 21 (however, it was about 60% correct when I showed
Phys 2210 Spring 2012 Lecture 19 SJP

Can use this to point out that \(-kx\) is getting smaller and smaller and approaching 0 at \(x=0\).

\(-bv\) starts out zero and gets bigger and bigger.

At some point BEFORE reaching \(x=0\), they MUST balance, and at that point, \(F=0\), so it's NOT speeding up any more!
For a damped oscillator, how does the period between successive maxima compare to the undamped case? (Assume $k$ and $m$ are the same)

A) same
B) damped is bigger
C) undamped is bigger

(Skipped)
Which phase path below best describes overdamped motion for a harmonic oscillator released from rest?

Challenge question: How does your answer change if the oscillator is “critically damped”?

Phys 2210 Spring 2012 Lecture 19 SJP
Sp ‘12:
0,0,4,4, [[93]]
Notes from Sp 11’: 7,0,7,5, [80] (Asked at end of class, after tutorial)

I only had ~20 minutes for the intermediate mechanics Tutorial on phase space for damped oscillators. Needed at least 5 minutes more, maybe 10. No time for discussion. 9TtPhase-DHM-REPmod

Sp ‘11 notes:
We did the intermediate mechanics tutorial on phase space for damped oscillators, and I left this up on the board for them to click on only after they were done (so I knew when they finished). We spent about 30 minutes on that Tutorial. Many students knew the answer to this one right away, even before the Tutorial. We ended class with it. I asked them what was wrong with A and B (doesn’t start at rest), then a student volunteered that C had the same issues as previous question: x is decreasing while v is positive?? I added that D has v=0 while x is decreasing, also inconsistent.
What kind of damping behavior should the shock absorbers in your car have, for the most comfortable ride?

A) No damping is best
B) under-damping
C) critical damping
D) over-damping

Just fun, one student argued that “over-damping” would be better, since you might not want the velocity to drop so rapidly (since you would feel that as acceleration or even jerk). I’m not sure, he might be right on this! We also talked about screen doors (similar story, more clear that you want critical damping, to minimize time to let the flies in!)
What kind of oscillator motion does this phase space diagram describe?

A) overdamped  
B) underdamped  
C) critically damped  
D) undamped (ideal SHM)  
E) ??? (not possible?)

Good discussion. One student pointed out that initial point is nonsense (positive x, decreasing, yet v is positive). The student was able to articulate this very well.

Another student said that at the point x=0, v=0, so it should stop there. I pointed out that a dropped object, dropped from x=0 with v=0, doesn’t just sit there, it just takes an instant for v to “build up”. The student was clearly still thinking/concerned about this, as he asked me later about it, pointing out that my gravity example would NOT result in a straight line phase space plot at the origin (he was quite correct!!)

Bottom line is that this picture is totally nonsense for many reasons, and is certainly not harmonic (which will make an arc, or ellipse, or...)

I asked them if this picture “reminded” them of anything from last class, and indeed, a student pointed out that the 2-D y vs x diagram can look like this. I agreed, that
We did the intermediate mechanics tutorial on phase space for damped oscillators, and I left this up on the board for them to click on only after they were done (so I knew when they finished). We spent about 30 minutes on that Tutorial. Many students knew the answer to this one right away, even before the Tutorial. We ended class with it. I asked them what was wrong with A and B (doesn’t start at rest), then a student volunteered that C had the same issues as previous question: x is decreasing while v is positive?? I added that D has v=0 while x is decreasing, also inconsistent.
Important concepts

Angular frequency: \( \omega = \frac{2\pi}{T} = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \)

Frequency: \( f = \frac{1}{T} \)

Position: \( x(t) = A \cos(\omega t + \delta) \)

Velocity: \( v(t) = -A \omega \sin(\omega t + \delta) \)

Acceleration: \( a(t) = -\omega^2 x(t) \)

Energy: \( E = \frac{mv^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} kx^2 = \frac{1}{2} kA^2 \)

No friction implies conservation of mechanical energy
Damped Oscillations

\[ F_{\text{drag}} = -bv \]

\[ 2\beta = \frac{b}{m} \]

\[ F = m\ddot{x} = -kx - b\dot{x} \]

\[ \ddot{x} + 2\beta\dot{x} + \omega_0^2 x = 0 \]

Phys 2210 Sp 12 SJP Lecture 20
Skiped
(Review notes, - I used them in a later lecture instead!)
Phys 2210 Sp 12 SJP Lecture 20
(Skipped)
(Review notes, very quick) All these slides together were about 4 minutes.
No time. It is not impossible to “add energy” (though, it won’t happen for a damped oscillator!)
This phase space plot (the solid line that starts at P and ends at the origin) represents what system?
A) undamped  B) under-damped  C) critically damped  
D) over-damped  E) Not enough info to decide!!

Phys 2210 Sp 12 SJP Lecture 20

No time, comes from Maine/Ambrose Tutorials
This phase space plot (the solid line that starts at P and ends at the origin) represents what system?
A) undamped  B) under-damped  C) critically damped  D) over-damped  E) Not enough info to decide!!
No time. A is impossible (crossing x with 0 velocity, but x is changing!)
C could be ok if you go around it CW
D is no good for an underdamped oscillator. If you go CCW, then v>0 at top but x is moving left. If you go CW, then energy is feeding into this damped system?
Did not click, just discussed. It's nice to point out that "the same math" leads to useful analogies, you can THINK of the inductor as "inertia". We discussed that big C means "floppy spring" in this analogy. I'm making the meta-point that they can solve large classes of problems now, even ones that don't look explicitly like "mass on spring".

Of course, this version of the question is too easy, "resistance = damping" is so instinctive, they don't really have to think very hard....
http://vnatsci.lt.edu/s_schneider/physlets/main/osc_damped_driven.shtml
How was Midterm 2 for you?

A) Too hard and/or long - no fair!
B) Hard, but fair
C) Long, but fair
D) Seemed reasonable.
E) I had such a nice break, I can’t remember that far back...

Interesting, last year there was no “no fair’s”, 50% “hard but fair”, and 37% “reasonable”.
The density of a spherical object is $\rho(r) = c/r^2$ (out to radius $R$, then 0 beyond that).

This means the total mass of this object is

\[
M = \text{(A) } \rho V = \left(\frac{c}{r^2}\right)\left(\frac{4}{3} \pi r^3\right) = \frac{4 \pi c}{3} r
\]

\[
B) \quad \rho V = \left(\frac{c}{R^2}\right)\left(\frac{4}{3} \pi R^3\right) = \frac{4 \pi c}{3} R
\]

\[
C) \quad \rho V = \left(\frac{c}{r^2}\right)\left(\frac{4}{3} \pi R^3\right) = \frac{4 \pi c R^3}{3 r^2}
\]

\[
D) \quad \text{None of these is correct!}
\]

Phys 2210 Sp '12 Lecture #21 SJP
Silent: 9, 40, 25, 25, 2
After discussion: 0, 33, 2, 63, 2

A little exam review, older material!

The shift during discussion moved everyone away from answers with the variable $r$ in it, but still some confusion – the point here is that $M$ is NOT $\rho V$!! That's only true if $\rho$ is constant. A lot of students made this error in the exam. Discussion was good, students pointed out all the key ideas (answer can't depend on $r$, answer B assumes that $\rho$ is it's "value at the edge" everywhere, $M=\rho V$ only if $\rho$ is constant (or, is the mean $\rho$))
Quick Review after the break

If a spring is pulled and released from rest, the plots shown below correspond to:

A) I: velocity, II: position, III: acceleration

B) I: position, II: velocity, III: acceleration

C) I: acceleration, II: velocity, III: position

D) I: velocity, II: acceleration, III: position

E) I do not have enough information!

No problem for the students. (This was review after spring break).

I took away a little bit of info that had been present last year (where we set x₀>0), and the discussion was largely about how to decide the signs. We got both answers from students: that v’ should tell us the sign of a, and that x’ should tell us the sign of v (so, looking just past t=0)
Underdamped Oscillations

An underdamped oscillation with $\beta < \omega_0$:

$$x(t) = Ae^{-\beta t} \cos(\omega_1 t - \delta).$$

$\beta < \omega_0$

$\omega_1 = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \beta^2}$. Note that damping reduces the oscillation frequency.

Phys 2210 Sp '12 Lecture #21 SJP
(Review notes, very quick)
Overdamped Oscillations

An *overdamped oscillation* with $\beta > \omega_0$:

$$x(t) = C_1 e^{-\left(\beta - \sqrt{\beta^2 - \omega_0^2}\right) t} + C_2 e^{-\left(\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 - \omega_0^2}\right) t}.$$

(decay parameter) $= \beta - \sqrt{\beta^2 - \omega_0^2}$

Phys 2210 Sp '12 Lecture #21 SJP
(Review notes, very quick)
Critically damped Oscillations

A critically damped oscillation with $\beta = \omega_0$:

$$x(t) = C_1 e^{-\beta t} + C_2 t e^{-\beta t}.$$  

$$(\text{decay parameter}) = \beta = \omega_0$$
(Review notes, very quick) All these slides together were about 4 minutes.
Driven oscillations
This class

Driven Oscillations & Resonance

Phys 2210 Sp ’12 Lecture #21 SJP
Driven oscillators and Resonance:

Emission & absorption of light
Lasers
Tuning of radio and television sets
Mobile phones
Microwave communications
Machine, building and bridge design
Musical instruments
Medicine
  – nuclear magnetic resonance
    magnetic resonance imaging
  – x-rays
  – hearing

Phys 2210 Sp ‘12 Lecture #21 SJP

Slide from A. M. Rey
Last year we had not explained how to do the solution yet, only sketched out the idea of homogenous + particular solutions, and that you can "guess" $y_p$. Same was largely true this year – we had a nice discussion of why C is not "making it more general" but in fact making it WRONG. And, we discussed that D is the right approach for a general solution (except the +5 isn't right) I animated the "general solution" at the bottom which helped round out the problem.

Last year: Half the class got this. It seemed a little quiet in the room. I didn't hear any reasons for A, but it got ¼ of the votes. There was a defense of D, as the general solution – but that's not what we're asking for here. (I suppose one could argue that it IS also a particular solution, technically... well, if we had gotten the constant at the end right. But as it stands, it's just plain wrong)

This clicker question seemed worthwhile doing: after the solution was stated/discussed, a number of students around me seemed to sort of slap their heads, they got it – for $x_p$, you just need to find something that works!
Nice followup to previous one, we discussed these one by one (the slide is animated). The class was responding nicely, people seemed to get the basic idea.

The last one, \( \cos(2t) \) generated nice discussion. One student suggested trying \( A \cos(2t) \) but another student pointed out that doesn’t work, then we heard \( A \cos(2t) + B \sin(2t) \) (which is ok, if you pick \( A \) and \( B \) right, but is a bit of a pain). Another suggesting solving \( e^{2it} \) and taking the real part, which is the trick I was after! I spend some time explaining/discussing this further, because it’s a bit subtle. The same student then asked about what the imaginary part “tells you”, he seemed bothered that when we solve \( e^{2it} \) and then take the real part, we’re tossing away info. We are! I suggested that we have solved two problems for the price of one – both the “cos” driver and the “sin” driver, and you could toss away the real part if you only cared about the latter.

S. Pollock
Consider the following equation

\[ x'' + 16x = 9 \sin(5t), \quad x(0) = 0, \quad x'(0) = 0 \]

The solution is given by

A. \[ x(t) = c_1 \cos(4t) + c_2 \sin(4t) - \sin(5t) \]

B. \[ x(t) = \frac{5}{4} \sin(4t) - \sin(5t) \]

C. \[ x(t) = \cos(4t) - \cos(5t) \]

D. I do not know

Phys 2210 Sp ‘12 Lecture #21 SJP
21, [[61]], 7, 11, 0
S ‘11: 31, [49], 18

2012: We had decent discussion. I animated this, and let them start working on whiteboards. After about a minute or 2, I revealed these choices and suggested they stop “deriving” and move into “choosing mode”, i.e. look at these solutions, see if any look like where they were heading, and picking the one they like best. We had a good discussion – a student pointed out that A is the general solution (but doesn’t solve the initial conditions) – exactly right! And C is better than it looks, it has the right behaviour for \( x(0) \) and \( x'(0) \) (that took a moment of discussion/thinking for the class to see!) it also has a reasonable homogeneous portion, only the particular solution is bad.

We then went on to talk about how damping modifies the solution (Someone shouted out that you’d get a damping term - I asked the class which term in the correct answer gets the damping, or is both? This was a great segue to “steady state” vs transient behaviour, which is where the Tutorial goes)

Last year: The room was mostly quiet, it didn’t generate student conversations. But
We did the Tutorial 10TtFHM.docx. Not enough time today, so continued next class.

On the blackboard, before starting the Tutorial, I wrote the ODE \( x'' + 2b x' + w_0^2 x = f_0 \exp[I w t] \)

Then, I wrote \( x(t) = x(\text{homog}) + x(\text{part}) \), with \( x(\text{part}) = A \exp[I w t] \). This is all stuff we’ve done in class.

Then I plugged in \( x(\text{part}) \) to get \( A(-w^2 + 2 \beta I w + w_0^2) = f_0 \).

Briefly discussed the logic: solve this for \( A \), we have our answer! And at large times, \( x(\text{part}) \) is it.

This is where we begin the Tutorial:

It’s a good, hard Tutorial, we gave them 25+ minutes, and at the end, 50% said “done with p1”, 40% said “done with p. 2”, 10% said “done with the whole thing”. We are going to return to it on Thursday.

Student issues in the Tutorial included struggling with steady-state vs transient behaviour, interpreting \( x(t) \) (many think it will “interfere” with \( F(t) \) in some way, or vary with time, or vanish if you’re off resonance...)

Puzzle: Taylor p. 189 says \( \omega_r = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - 2\beta^2} \).

Suppose one oscillator is ideal, with amplitude 0.5 m. Another is lightly damped, and driven, so that by \( t=0 \) a (resonant) steady-state amplitude of 0.5 m is reached. Which graph is which?

---

A) Done with page 1
B) Done with page 2
C) Done with page 3
D) Done with the puzzle below
What is the *most general* form of the solution of the ODE \( u'' + 4u = e^t \)?

A) \( u = C_1 e^{2t} + C_2 e^{-2t} + C_3 e^t \)

B) \( u = A \cos(2t - \delta) + C_3 e^t \)

C) \( u = C_1 e^{2t} + C_2 e^{-2t} + \left(\frac{1}{5}\right) e^t \)

D) \( u = A \cos(2t - \delta) + \left(\frac{1}{5}\right) e^t \)

E) Something else!???
A person is pushing and pulling on a pendulum with a long rod. Rightward is the positive direction for both the force on the pendulum and the position x of the pendulum mass.

To maximize the amplitude of the pendulum, how should the phase of the driving force be related to the phase of the position of the pendulum?

A) $x$ vs. t
B) $x$ vs. t (out of phase)
C) $x$ vs. t
D) $x$ vs. t (in phase)

Phys 2210 Sp '12 Lecture #21 SJP
This was up while we did the Tutorial last year, but we did not vote on it.
The phase angle $\delta$ in this triangle is

$$\delta = \arctan\left(\frac{B}{A}\right)$$

A. $\delta = \arctan\left(\frac{B}{A}\right)$  B. $\delta = \arccot\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)$

C. $\delta = \arctan\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)$  D. More than one is correct

D. None of these are correct

We skipped this one, just talked about it on the board without fussing with the ambiguity here (A and B are fine, making D correct)
Consider the general solution for a damped, driven oscillator:

$$x(t) = C_1 e^{-\beta t} e^{+\sqrt{\beta^2 - \omega_0^2} t} + C_2 e^{-\beta t} e^{-\sqrt{\beta^2 - \omega_0^2} t} + A \cos(\omega t - \delta)$$

Which term dominates for large $t$?

D) Depends on the particular values of the constants
E) More than one of these!

Challenge questions: Which term(s) matters most at small $t$? Which term “goes away” first?

SJP, Sp '12 Lecture #22
Preclass: 16, 5, [[73]], 2, 4
Then some discussion, and then let them re-discuss: 4, 0 [[91]], 5

Last year we didn’t discuss this, but it’s nice. It reviews the formalism, and lets you clearly look at the full solution, thinking about WHY the particular solution is “left behind”.

There was discussion/questions from the class about what happens when beta<wo (so the first 2 terms become complex), and also whether you might get “runaway” and have term A end up dominating at large times due to the positive exponent. (We’d discussed this before, but it got lost for a few students)

I also spent time asking them about the physical interpretation of “A” in this formula, and also of “delta” – where does that come from? The oscillatorformula is $f_0 \cos(w t)$ for this one (some students thought that delta just took into account an oscillator that itself had a phase) Both of these are hard for the students, they are not seeing that the resulting steady state motion is *steady* at the *driving frequency*, and A is the steady-state amplitude of the response to the choice of w.
SJP, Sp ’12 Lecture #22
2, 7, [[84]], 5, 2

We gave them 25 *more* minutes for the Tutorial 10TtFHM.docx, and had the “followup” activity 11_TutorialTfFHM_followup for those who were done. Most finished this time, and this clicker question implies that many got the outcome.

Student explained the physics nicely, they visualized answer C as saying that you “push forward” when the object is moving forward (i.e. F and v are in phase!) and you “push backward” when the object is moving backward. (I keep visualizing the kid on the swing, and this becomes very physically obvious.)

(This was up while we did the Tutorial last year, but we did not vote on it.)
Consider the amplitude

\[ A^2 = \frac{f_0^2}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4\beta^2 \omega^2} \]

In the limit as \( \omega \) goes to infinity, \( A \)

A. Goes to zero  
B. Approaches a nonzero constant  
C. Goes to infinity  
D. I don’t know!

SJP, Sp ’12 Lecture #22  
[[98]], 2  
Sp ’11: [96],3,

Although they all get it, I like it. What I ask of them is to look at it mathematically, but also *physically* (a student explained that you change direction of push before it has any chance to respond) I also asked which of the two terms in the denominator dominate, and interestingly some students weren’t sure. Basic stuff, good to review!

Comments last year: Clearly too easy of a question (it’s a “setup” for the sketch in 2 slides), might not need to click on this. One thing that was nice was when we asked for the explanation, one student pointed out the physics – that if you try to oscillate something super fast, it has no time before it turns around, so it can’t really move anywhere, it just “buzzes” in place.
Consider the amplitude

\[ A^2 = \frac{f_0^2}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4\beta^2 \omega^2} \]

In the limit of no damping, A

A. Goes to zero
B. Approaches a constant
C. Goes to infinity
D. I don’t know!

SJP, Sp ’12 Lecture #22
5, 43, 31, [[21]]
Sp ’11: 12,60,19,[9]

This is really a trick question, and it worked fine. The “correct” answer has to be “I don’t know” – depending on what omega is, the answer could be A, B, or C! The students around me had a good discussion, one thought physically that if beta goes to zero “there’s nothing to damp it, so A should go to infinity”. Another pointed out that the FORMULA says A goes to a constant. Another responded after the histogram showed up, but he was assuming that w=w0 from the previous question, and thus that it goes to infinity. I think in the end the message worked. (In ’12, someone asked during the question, “is omega = omega0 or not” and I said “I don’t know, it could be anything, which may have helped boost the number realizing the “trick””)
SJP, Sp ’12 Lecture #22
[[90]], 3, 2, 2, 3

‘11: [86], 5, 5, 4 (?)
(I have changed the image from what we gave in class) B is a sigmoid, C is 1-that with different coefficients.
Next time we need to add a symmetric curve, that will get more distraction!

I was a little surprised that this wasn't even higher (given the previous two questions), but 86% is still very high. One student near me thought that the curve for A looked “cleaner” than the others, so that had to be the answer. One student articulated the reasoning *based* on the limits from the previous slides.

The behaviour at omega=0 is a little surprising, in ’12 I had explicit questions about it, and again when I asked students what happens to A as omega-> 0, there was a bit of confusion in the class.
NOTE: I changed figure D AFTER class (see prev slide for the version I gave this time)

I think this will be a strong temptation and will force the discussion of what happens at \( w_0 \to 0 \) better, probably worth a try next year.

[90], 3, 2, 2, 3

‘11: [86], 5, 5, 4 (?)

B is a sigmoid, C is 1-that with different coefficients. D is the correct curve multiplied by \( w_0 \), to force it to 0 at the origin. (wrong)

I was a little surprised that this wasn’t even higher (given the previous two questions), but 86\% is still very high. One student near me thought that the curve for A looked “cleaner” than the others, so that had to be the answer. One student articulated the reasoning *based* on the limits from the previous slides.

The behaviour at \( \omega = 0 \) is a little surprising, in ‘12 I had explicit questions about it, and again when I asked students what happens to A as \( \omega \to 0 \), there was a
If you have a damped, driven oscillator, and you increase damping, $\beta$, (leaving everything else fixed) what happens to the curve shown?

$$A^2 = \frac{f_0^2}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4\beta^2\omega^2}$$

Fixed $\omega$!

A) It shifts to the LEFT, and the max value increases.
B) It shifts to the LEFT, and the max value decreases.
C) It shifts to the RIGHT, and the max value increases.
D) It shifts to the RIGHT, and the max value decreases.
E) Other/not sure/???

SJP, Sp ‘12 Lecture #22
2, 36, 0, 8, [[54]]

‘11: 0, 62, 4, 17, [17]

A little mean to have two in one day where the answer is basically “other”, but here it is again. If you are plotting versus $w_0$, the peak is at $w_0 = w$, independent of beta. So the max value does decrease, but it doesn’t shift at all.

I heard various arguments and reasons, but *suspect* the main reason that B was so popular was that this was the answer to a similar preflight question, which asked them to read the book which states that the peak of a resonance is shifted to the left a little bit when there is damping. (But that’s versus $w$, with $w_0$ held fixed!) I did hear some students trying to argue this one out, making vague arguments about “when there is more damping, you need a [higher] or [lower] frequency to compensate”. I couldn’t quite decipher their logic...

This is a good (and hard) little question – the solution path is mathematical (at least for me), and we may want to encourage students to COMPUTE the answer rather than always searching for a vague explanation. (?)
If you have a damped, driven oscillator, and you increase damping, \( \beta \), (leaving everything else fixed) what happens to the curve shown?

\[
A^2 = \frac{f_0^2}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2 + 4\beta^2 \omega^2}
\]

Fixed \( \omega_0 \)

\( \omega \)

- A) It shifts to the LEFT, and the max value increases.
- B) It shifts to the LEFT, and the max value decreases.
- C) It shifts to the RIGHT, and the max value increases.
- D) It shifts to the RIGHT, and the max value decreases.
- E) Other/not sure/???

SJP, Sp ’12 Lecture #22
Didn’t have time to vote in ‘12, we just discussed it.

In ‘11: 2, [64], 0, 1, 23
Back to the earlier question, but this time the one they read about in the book. We didn’t hear from the 23% who voted E, so I don’t know what they were thinking, next round I would really push harder to find out what the issue is.
Given the differential equation for an RLC circuit, which quantity is analogous to the inertial (mass) term in a mechanical oscillator?

A) R, resistance  
B) L, inductance  
C) C, capacitance

Challenge question: What are the other two quantities analogous to?

SJP, Sp ’12 Lecture #23  
Preclass: 4, [[91]], 6,

Just for fun, we’ve really already asked this, although I discussed a little more about the “math” and “physics” analogies, trying to get across the idea that “same math” yields “same solutions”, even for very different physical contexts, and this can yield INSIGHTS into the physics of an unfamiliar system (like an RLC circuit for them). Here, the “inertia” is from Lenz’ law, inductors don’t “like” to change current just like masses don’t like to change velocity.

S. Pollock
\[ L \frac{d^2 Q}{dt^2} + R \frac{dQ}{dt} + \frac{Q}{C} = 0 \]

What is \( \omega_0 \)?

A) \( C \)  
B) \( 1/C \)  
C) \( 1/\text{Sqrt}[C] \)  
D) \( 1/LC \)  
E) \( 1/\text{Sqrt}[LC] \)

SJP, Sp ’12 Lecture #23
Silent: 0, 5, 22, 10, 63
Discussion: 0, 0, 0, [[100]]
(Big applause for that one) It’s a followup to the previous one, you must make the math “analogous” before extracting results, in this case dividing through by \( L \).

2011: Didn’t click, no time, just talked through it. But I think it would be worth clicking on this one. It’s nice to point out the physics here – this goes back to the question two slides ago, when you vary \( w_0 \) at fixed \( w \), resonance is when you tune your radio capacitor (e.g.) to get \( w_0 = w \), and you pick up THAT station. (Student in front of me thought that was very cool!)

S. Pollock
I didn’t make this a clicker question, but took a good ~3 minutes or more on it. I had reviewed the math/formalism already, and asked them to work out the numbers. I encouraged them to pull out a pencil and get a NUMBER. I thought it would be quick (esp since we had reviewed this circuit last class), but some were quite stuck, others had the idea but weren’t sure of numbers or units. Some were intimidated by the numbers. I kept interrupting and stepping through bits – e.g. “what’s the central physics idea” (resonance), “what are “p” and “n” again?” (Some weren’t sure!), “do you need to remember what exactly a “F”(Farad) or “H (Henry)” is? (No, just that they are consistent SI) As more progressed, I told them to convert their answer to Hz, and then figure out the PHYSICS here, what common object/circuit could this represent? I asked for the formula for \( \omega_0 \), and got \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}} \) shouted out. Worked that out at the board, then asked if I multiply or divide by \( 2\pi \) to get \( f \). (You’d think this would be trivial by now, but it took a moment to start getting unanimity) The numbers work out to about 1E8 Hz, I asked “so, what’s the physics, what do you know that resonates at this frequency”? Again, mostly silence, till someone came up with “FM radio station, 100 MHZ!”
Our particular solution is: \[ x_p(t) = Ce^{i\omega t} \]

with \[ C = \frac{f_0}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2) + 2\beta \omega i} = Ae^{-i\delta} \]

So \[ \delta = \tan^{-1} \frac{2\beta \omega}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)} \]

At resonance, this means the phase between x and F is:
A) 0
B) 90°
C) 180°
D) Infinite
E) Undefined/???

This rolls back to the Tutorial from last (2) classes, they know the answer is 90, we talked through other limits (like \(\omega = 0\), where we have already intuitively argued that phase should vanish) and \(\omega \to \infty\). The latter is a little subtle, you have to think about why \(\tan^{-1}(-0)\) is 180 and not 0).

S. Pollock
SJP, Sp ’12 Lecture #23
(Slide to introduce Q – it’s a definition, we did some sensemaking, showed how the definition leads to the proportionality shown in this slide, and how to think about it, Q measures “how many cycles before you die off”)

Slide from A. M Rey

\[ Q = \frac{\omega_0}{2\beta} \text{ (proportional to } \tau/T) \]
Skipped in ‘12.

Slide from A. M Rey
I had a mass on a spring in front of class, it probably bobbed 50-100 times before significantly dying off. (So, Q=100)

2012: Didn’t click, just had a discussion. It’s fun, played it like an auction (“I got Q=100, going once, anyone want a higher Q? How about a lower Q”) For the mass and spring I have, I think it’s a couple hundred – went on to discuss examples of even higher Q (like quartz crystal in my watch) and then higher still (10^15 for atomic clocks). One student wondered why, in such a large Q, you don’t store an ENORMOUS amount of energy on resonance – we then talked about the LIMITS of the approximations we’re making (our ODE assumes linear spring force, for instance!)

2011: 2,5, [93] (preclass)

I did talk them through this (preclass) slide before I collected votes.

Despite the high score, I think it’s a good review question, and provoked some discussion. I asked them for numerical estimates, and they ranged from 10 to 1000. I asked them how to justify this number – it was NOT clear that many of them
What is the approximate Q of the simple harmonic oscillator shown in class?

A) 1  
B) 100  
C) 10000  
D) .01  
E) .0001

Changed this to previous slide
Can you break a wine glass with a human voice if the person sings at precisely the resonance frequency of the glass?

A) Sure. I could do it  
B) A highly trained opera singer might be able to do it.  
C) No. Humans can’t possibly sing loudly enough or precisely enough at the right frequency. This is just an urban legend.  


SJP, Sp ’12 Lecture #23  
2012: 11, 72, 15  
2011: 25, 57, 18

Just for fun.  
I thought it was a myth myself, but the video shows the answer is B! Quite humorous, but you need to skip to the good part, it’s a long video.  
Here’s a 1 minute version: (I saved the file, it’s available in our curricular materials.  
video_Adam Savage on Breaking Glass.mp4

Myth Busters video  http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=7765557442856739526#

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l4jdGf3RzCs

556 Hz, 105 dB.