Putting Local Realism to the Test

INTELLIGENCE Not because you think you know everything without questioning, but rather because you question everything you think you know.

"We can't solve problems by using the same kind of thinking we used when we created them." - Albert Einstein

Day 39: Questions? Revisit EPR-Argument Testing Local Realism Single Photon

Up Next: Readings! Finish Single-Photon Experiments Wave-Particle Duality

Recently:

- 1. Hidden variables, locality, quantum interpretations.
- 2. Entanglement

Today:

- 1. Revisit the EPR argument.
- 2. Testing local realism
- 3. Single photon





















Interpretations One & Two involved hidden variables.
Interpretation Three said:

In general, the state of a quantum system is indeterminate until measured.

We can restate this as:

THE OUTCOME OF A QUANTUM EXPERIMENT CANNOT, *IN GENERAL*, BE PREDICTED EXACTLY; ONLY THE PROBABILITIES OF THE VARIOUS OUTCOMES CAN BE FOUND.
Question: How comfortable are you with Interpretation Three (i.e. Finkelstein says Einstein is wrong and Bohr is right)?

- A. Very comfortable
- B. Getting comfortable, but still not totally convinced
- C. On the fence, I can see arguments for both sides
- D. No way, Finkelstein (and Bohr) are full of it
- E. Don't have any idea which interpretation is right















Interpretation

Statistical: Each photon is **either** reflected **or** transmitted at the beamsplitter (but not both). The superposition state represents our ignorance of its actual state.

- Quantum Wave: Each photon is **both** reflected **and** transmitted. The superposition state represents the actual state of each photon after encountering the beamsplitter.
- **Copenhagen:** We can't describe what we can't observe. The superposition is the correct mathematical description of the possible measurement outcomes, but we can't ever know more than that.



"The result of [the detection] must be either the whole photon or nothing at all. Thus the photon must change suddenly from being partly in one beam and partly in the other to being entirely in one of the beams."

P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (1930, p. 8)















