Phys 3310, HW #4, Due in class Wed Feb 6. Each lettered subpart is worth ~the same.

Q1. NONUNIFORM SURFACE CHARGE

We typically consider cases in class where charge is distributed completely uniformly, but it's not always that way - let's consider an insulating sphere (radius R) with surface charge density $\sigma = \sigma_0 \sin^2(\theta) \sin^2(\phi)$.

- a) Find the total charge on this sphere. Then, describe briefly in words and pictures what this charge distribution "looks like".
- b) Then, briefly but clearly, outline the *procedure* to find **E**(0,y,0) (for x>R). **Note:** you do not need to come up with a final closed-form answer! We just want a discussion, with detailed, clear formulas, of how you would proceed. Get as far as you reasonably can, but stop only when the going gets too nasty, and discuss what you would do next if you really *needed* to know this E field in, say, a laboratory/experimental situation.

Q2. DIVERGENCE AND CURL

Consider an electric field $\mathbf{E} = c \frac{\vec{\mathbf{r}}}{r^2}$ (Please note the numerator is not $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$: this is NOT the

usual E field from a point charge at the origin, which would give $c' \frac{\vec{\mathbf{r}}}{r^3}$, right?!)

- a) Calculate the divergence and the curl of this E field.
- Explicitly test your answer for the divergence by using the divergence theorem. (Is there a delta function at the origin like there was for a point charge field, or not?)
- b) What are the units of c? What charge distribution would you need to produce an E field like this? Describe it in words as well as formulas. (Is it physically realizable?)

O3. ALLOWED E FIELDS

- a) Which one of the following two static E-fields is physically impossible. Why?
- i) $\mathbf{E} = c(x\hat{\mathbf{i}} + z\hat{\mathbf{j}} + y\hat{\mathbf{k}})$
- ii) $\mathbf{E} = c(x\hat{\mathbf{i}} + 2z\hat{\mathbf{j}} + y\hat{\mathbf{k}})$

where c is a constant (with appropriate units)

- b) For the one which IS possible, find the potential V(r), using the *origin* as your reference point (i.e. setting V(0)=0)
- Check your answer by explicitly computing the gradient of V. (Are there any other ways you can check yourself besides just "doing it again"?)

Note: you must select a specific path to integrate along. It doesn't matter which path you choose, since the answer is path-independent, but you can't compute a line integral without having a particular path in mind, so be explicit about that in your solution.

Phys 3310, HW #4, Due in class Wed Feb 6. Each lettered subpart is worth ~the same.

Q4. FINDING VOLTAGE FROM CHARGE DISTRIBUTION

- a) Find the electrostatic potential V(0,0,z) everywhere along the symmetry-axis of a charged ring (radius a, in the x-y plane centered on the z-axis, with uniform linear charge density λ around the ring) Please use the method of direct integration (Griffiths 2.30, on p. 85) to do this, and set your reference point to be $V(\infty)=0$.
- b) Use this V(0,0,z) to find the z-component of the E field anywhere along the z-axis.
- c) Sketch V(z) and $E_z(z)$ for points on the z-axis (both z<0 and z>0, watch signs!) Briefly comment on your results for V and E_z right at the origin do they make physical sense to you, and are they consistent with each other?
- d) How does V(z) behave as $z \rightarrow \infty$? (Don't just say it goes to 0. HOW does it go to zero? Does your answer make physical sense to you? Briefly, explain.)

Q5. CALCULATING VOLTAGE FROM E FIELD

Last week, we investigated the electric field outside an infinite line that runs along the z-axis, $\vec{\mathbf{E}} = \frac{2\lambda}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\vec{\mathbf{s}}}{s^2}$.

- a) This field may look similar to Q2 above, but it is *not* the same how is it different?
- Find the potential V(s) for points a distance "s" away from the z-axis.

(Note: you will have to have to be very careful to compute a difference of potentials between two points, or something similar, to avoid integrals which are infinite! You'll discuss this in part b)

- Check your answer by explicitly taking the gradient of V to make sure it gives you E.
- b) Briefly discuss the question of "reference point": where did you set V=0? Can you use $s=\infty$, or s=0, as the reference point, V(s)=0, here?
- How would your answer change if I told you that I wanted you to set V=0 at a distance s=3 meters away from the z-axis?
- Why is there trouble with setting $V(\infty)=0$? (our usual choice), or V(0)=0 (often our second choice).
- c) **Estimation:** A typical Colorado lightning bolt might transfer a few Coulombs of charge in a stroke. Although lightning is clearly not remotely "electrostatic", let's pretend it is consider a brief period during the stroke, and assume all the charges are fairly uniformly distributed in a long thin line. If you see the lightning stroke, and then a few seconds later hear the thunder, make a *very rough* estimate of the resulting voltage difference across a distance the size of your heart. (For you to think about why is this not worrisome?)

What's the model? I am thinking of a lightning strike as looking rather like a long uniform line of charge... You've done the "physics" of this in the previous parts! (But e.g., you need a numerical estimate for λ . How long might that lightning bolt be? For estimation problems, don't worry about any small details, you can be off by 3, or even 10, or 50, I just don't want you off by factors of 1000's!) Make crude approximations!

Phys 3310, HW #4, Due in class Wed Feb 6. Each lettered subpart is worth ~the same.

EXTRA CREDIT (but, strongly recommended. This one is good practice in many ways.) Each lettered part will be worth any other lettered part above, so it's worth lots of extra credit!

SCREENED POTENTIAL

Consider the "screened Coulomb potential" of a point charge of charge q that arises, e.g. in plasma physics: $V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{e^{-r/\lambda}}{r}$, where λ is a constant (called the screening length).

- a) Determine the E-field E(r) associated with this potential, and find the charge distribution $\rho(r)$ that produces this potential. (Think carefully about what happens at the origin!)
- b) Sketch this function $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ in a manner that clearly describes its characteristics (i.e. what's the best way of representing this three-dimensional charge distribution? Use it, and explain what you're plotting).
- Show by explicit calculation over $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ that the net charge represented by this distribution is zero (!) (If you don't get zero, think again about what happens at r=0)
- Verify this result using the integral form of Gauss' law (i.e. integrate your electric flux over a *very large* spherical surface. By Gauss, that should tell you Q(enclosed)