

Vector potential

A. The following identities (I & II) are true for **any** vector field $\vec{\mathbf{F}}(\vec{\mathbf{r}}, t)$ or scalar function $f(\vec{\mathbf{r}}, t)$:

$$\text{I.} \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}) = 0$$

$$\text{II.} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} f) = 0$$

Maxwell's *time-independent* equations are:

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{E}} = \rho/\epsilon_0 \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{E}} = 0 \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}} = 0 \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{B}} = \mu_0 \vec{\mathbf{J}}$$

Given the information above about scalar and vector fields, which of these Maxwell equations implies that $\vec{\mathbf{E}} = -\vec{\nabla}V$? Explain your reasoning.

Which of these Maxwell equations implies that $\vec{\mathbf{B}} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{A}}$? Explain your reasoning.

Vector potential

B. Recall the second math identity from the first page:

$$\text{II.} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} f) = 0$$

$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}} = 0$ says the magnetic field can be written as the curl of some vector potential $\vec{\mathbf{A}}$:

$$\vec{\mathbf{B}} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{A}}$$

Use Eq. II above to show that if we create a *new* vector potential $\vec{\mathbf{A}}'$, gotten by adding $\vec{\nabla}\lambda$ (where $\lambda(\vec{r}, t)$ is some scalar function of space and time) to the first vector potential $\vec{\mathbf{A}}$:

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}}' = \vec{\mathbf{A}} + \vec{\nabla}\lambda$$

then this new vector potential will also correspond to the same B-field:

$$\vec{\mathbf{B}} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{A}}'$$