## Physics 4810 / 7810: Perfect 10

- who are we really teaching?

Day 18: Fa2008: Application
Gender, Race
Inclusion
Stereotype threat
Class-updates:

- if you're not getting hard-copy back

I'm not tracking your participation

- do you want me to reply online:
- benefit: legible
- downside (?): public



## Part ][

- Transforming Classes:

Research-Based Practice and Inclusion

## Two Part Workshop

I. Gender /Race in the big picture and its role in science (and visa versa) -- Tues
II. Rising to the concrete: local research / practice that might have something to say -- Today

## Approach

We will be inclusive and respectful in our class discussion:
Respect ideas / people: DISCUSS... DEBATE
IN CONSTRUCTIVE WAYS:
Inappropriate: "Crazy"
Appropriate: "This goes too far ... because..."

## Mechanisms of Bias

- Implicit / Internalized Bias
- Stereotype Threat
- Systemic Bias


## Systemic Bias

## What are our goals

- I fully support equal opportunity for women in the science, but it not obvious why there must be a certain percentage


## Reigning paradigms

As for the "queen bees", I have previously heard of women who "pull the ladder up after them". What is the source of this behavior?

## Questions

- Did you know the race outcomes before you started?
- How did it feel ? (Group 1 and Group 2)
- We all come with privileges and are subject to exclusion:
- Come up with an example of privileges (where you get the ladle)
- Come up with an example of bias against you (where you get the spoon)


## Exercise

```
AIP Statistics:
    50% of physics students in h.s. are female
    22% of bachelors in physics go to women
    10% of faculty in physics are women
```

```
In introductory physics there is a Gender Gap:
```

In introductory physics there is a Gender Gap:
male students perform better than female students
male students perform better than female students
Known: (PER Community)
Known: (PER Community)
Interactive Education better than Traditional Lecture
Interactive Education better than Traditional Lecture
Claim: (Harvard)
Claim: (Harvard)
Interactive Education does away with the gender gap

```
Interactive Education does away with the gender gap
```

- Come up with a classroom practice that is gendered
- Come up with a science practice that is gendered


## Results from Harvard



## CU Data

- 7 semesters (sp04 - sp07) introductory, calculusbased mechanics (PHYS 1110)
- 3 semesters IE 1 (without Tutorials)
- 4 semesters IE 2 (with Tutorials)
- Matched FMCE pre/post data ( $\mathrm{N} \sim 2100$ )
- Course grades ( N ~ 3600)
- Demographic and background data ( $\mathrm{N} \sim 3600$ )


| Course Grades |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Participation | Homework | Exams | Course GPA |
| $\langle\mathrm{S}\rangle_{\mathrm{M}}-\langle\mathrm{S}\rangle_{\mathrm{F}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.6 \text { * } \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.5 * \\ & (0.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \text { * } \\ & (0.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.11 \text { * } \\ & (0.04) \end{aligned}$ |
| - 7 semesters, $\mathrm{N} \sim 3600$ <br> - Average differences in \% points, except GPA <br> - Differences offset one another |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



## Conclusions

- Despite IE 2 techniques, gender gap persists
- Harvard claims results independent of instructor, we see otherwise


## Where does this lead us?

- What influences the gender gap?

Why does it exist?

- Preparation and background?
- Math skill?
- Attitudes and beliefs?

Background physics knowledge

- Is pretest a factor?
- Matched FMCE pre/post data
- $\mathrm{N}=2099$
- Faculty practices?
- Other factors?
- Where else do we see a gender gap?




## Multiple Regression

- What is the difference between a male's and a female's scores, after controlling for several important factors?
- Sample of 1027 students ( $30 \%$ of population)


## Building the Model

Dependent Variable: FMCE Posttest
Independent Variables: Gender
FMCE Pretest
Math Score
Attitudes \& Beliefs
Other Independent HS GPA Variables: HS Physics

HS Calculus
Ethnicity

## Regression Model

POST $=\mathrm{b}_{0}$
$+b_{1}$ FEMALE
$+b_{2}$ PRE
$+b_{3}$ MATH
$+b_{4}$ BELIEFS
$+\mathrm{b}_{5}$ FEMALE x PRE
Interaction: gender \& pretest


